

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/813,958	03/31/2004	Hartej Singh	42P18635	5882
7590 10/29/2007 Jan Little-Washington			EXAMINER	
BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP Seventh Floor 12400 Wilshire Boulevard Los Angeles, CA 90025			JAIN, RAJ K	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2616	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/29/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•					
-		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/813,958	SINGH ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Raj K. Jain	2616		
eriod f	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address		
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 M	ONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS		
WHI(- Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Does an an an analysis of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a re will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. IANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 M	larch 2004.			
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
)ispositi	ion of Claims				
4)🛛	Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw				
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-10,12-21 and 23-25</u> is/are reject	ted.			
7)🛛	Claim(s) 4,11,22 is/are objected to.				
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.			
pplicati	ion Papers				
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.			
	The drawing(s) filed on 31 March 2004 is/are: a		ected to by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	<u>-</u>			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex-				
riority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	•			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		received in this National Stage		
* ~	application from the International Bureau	, ,,,			
	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not r	eceived.		
ttachment		🗖			
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date		
Inform	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Inf	formal Patent Application		
Pape	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🔲 Other:	<u>_</u> .		

Art Unit: 2616

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claims 1, 10, 16 and 19 are objected to because of the following informalities:

The subject claims recite here in part " ...receiving a first value representing an allowed amount of target traffic". Suggest rewording as "receiving an allowed amount of target traffic representing a first value". Appropriate correction is required.

Furthermore, regarding claim 1, the last line is vague and indefinite "...dropping target traffic when a percentage of target traffic." It is not clear what is being claimed.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 4 and 22 recite the limitation "the second generating" in line 1 and line 4 respectively. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States

Art Unit: 2616

only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-3, 5-10, 12-16, 19-21 and 23-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Wu et al (US 2004/0177087 A1).

Regarding claim(s) 1, 10, 16 and 19, Wu discloses a method, comprising: receiving a first value representing an allowed amount of target traffic (Fig. 5, TCP target rate in Kbps) and second value representing a time interval during which to receive the allowed amount of target traffic (Fig. 5, para 44, time in seconds to receive the target traffic), the first value and the second value defining a percentage of target traffic allowed through a port (ratio of target traffic or fair share of TCP vs UDP traffic in given time represents the percentage), the port having a port speed; and determining that port speed changed by a factor of N (Fig. 5, TCP rate varies based on the bandwidth of the bottleneck selected, para 44; scaling the second value by a factor of 1/N (weights are adjusted periodically for a determined or dynamic interval, para 38), respectively; and based on the allowed amount of target traffic and the scaled second value, dropping target traffic when a percentage of target traffic (a drop profile is determined to drop packets as desired paras 7-14).

Regarding claim(s) 2, 5, 20, Wu discloses various port speeds (Fig. 5) and therefore different clocks).

Regarding claim(s) 3, 21, Wu discloses receiving the selected clock and incrementing a counter using the selected clock (para 39).

Regarding claim(s) 6, 12 and 23, Wu discloses comprising: comparing an amount of target traffic to the allowed amount of target traffic; and causing a status flag

to be set when the amount of target traffic and the allowed amount of target traffic are equal (para 34, various types of traffic are marked to specific classes and tracked by a self adaptive fair scheduler to control and adjust traffic weights).

Regarding claim(s) 7, 13 and 24, Wu discloses dropping target traffic until the time interval represented by the scaled second value has elapsed (Fig. 5, specific profiling is used to drop traffic as desired).

Regarding claim(s) 8, 14 and 25Wu discloses permitting target traffic through the port after the time interval represented by the scaled second value has elapsed (Fig. 6, target traffic is dynamically adjusted for different times).

Regarding claim(s) 9, 15, Wu discloses receiving the first value representing an allowed amount of broadcast, multicast, or destination unknown traffic (Fig. 5, TCP or UDP Kbps values representing allowed amount of target traffic).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wu et al (US 2004/0177087 A1) as applied to claim 16 above, and further in view of Jones et al (USP 5,991,271).

Wu fails to explicitly disclose UTP and/or STP cabling for communications.

Art Unit: 2616

Jones discloses UTP and/or STP cabling for communications (col 1 lines 15-24). The use of different cablings allows for designers to accommodate cost and transmission parameters as desired. Thus it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Jones within Wu so as to provide a varied transmission medium to accommodate cost and transmission parameters as desired.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 4, 11 and 22 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raj K. Jain whose telephone number is 571-272-3145. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chi Pham can be reached on 571-272-3179. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Application/Control Number: 10/813,958

Art Unit: 2616

Page 6

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Raj K. Jain /Raj K. Jain/ Art Unit 2616

October 19, 2007